

Hippocampal Contribution to Renewal of Conditional Fear After Extinction Moriel Zelikowsky, Daniel L. Pham & Michael S. Fanselow

Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

INTRODUCTION

•The hippocampus is vital to the formation of an integrated, Gestalttype representation of an environment/context (Fanselow, 2000). ·Previous studies from our lab have implicated the dorsal hippocampus (DH) in the formation and short-term storage of contextual fear memories (Kim and Fanselow, 1992). •Deficits in context-sensitive tasks/effects (e.g. Morris Water Maze, context pre-exposure effect, trace conditioning, context conditioning) can occur when the hippocampus is compromised (e.g., see Cushman, Moore, Olson & Fanselow, Poster #874.8/RR43). •In renewal, conditional responding (CR) to a previously extinguished conditional stimulus (CS) returns when the CS is tested outside of the extinction context (see Bouton, 2004). Given the context-sensitivity of renewal, the DH is a natural candidate for investigation of the brain structure(s) underlying renewal. ·Previous studies interested in the role of the DH in renewal have yielded mixed results. Some demonstrate that lesions or inactivations of DH (regardless of timing or renewal type) result in

deficits in fear renewal (Corcoran & Maren 2001, 2004: Ji & Maren 2005), while another study (Frohardt et al., 2000) finds no renewal deficit in animals with DH lesions (pre-training). •One notable difference between these findings is in how fear renewal is analyzed (i.e. initial vs. average CR to a CS). This difference suggests that the role of the DH in renewal may depend on when during the time course of renewal, conditional fear is assessed. •Indeed, previous findings from our lab (Anagnostaras et al., 1999) showed that hippocampal lesions are sensitive to the time course of a test session, as lesions of the hippocampus eliminate overall fear responding to a context conditioned in the recent past, but leave initial contextual fear intact

QUESTION 1: Does the DH play a necessary role in renewal, and if so, is this role dependent on the time course of renewal? ·Given a time-selective role for the DH in renewal, we asked whether another structure, in complement to the DH, is responsible for the initial moments of fear renewal that DH lesions leave intact. •Recent investigations implicating the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) (infralimbic (IL) region in particular) in fear extinction (see Ouirk & Mueller, 2008), led us to investigate whether or not the mPFC could be the structure complementing the DH in renewal. QUESTION 2: What role does the mPFC play in fear renewal?

HISTOLOGY

Upon termination of behavioral testing, rats were overdosed and perfused intracardially with KPBS and 4% paraformaldehyde. Brains were cryoprotected (30% sucrose solution), frozen (-20 C), sectioned (50 µm), mounted, and stained for cell bodies (cresyl violet). Using a light microscope lesions were verified and images were captured



Figure 1. Representative coronal sections of the DH in a sham lesioned animal (left) compared to a DH lesioned animal (right). Animals with lesions sparing the CAI region of the DH were excluded.



Figure 2. Representative coronal section of the mPFC in a sham lesioned animal (left) ompared to a mPFC lesioned animal (right). All animals included in our analyses suffere age to the IL region of mPFC. In some animals, this damage extended to the prelimbio (PL) region. Animals with only PL damage were excluded.







CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

• Pre-training DH lesions only attenuate renewal when analyses are performed across average percent freezing during a test session. This suggests that the DH is important for renewed responding as a test session progresses, but that initial responding is supported by another structure.

• Post-extinction DH lesions eliminate both average and initial renewal. Thus, it is likely that the structure partially compensating for the DH after pretraining lesions is recruited during conditioning and/or extinction. Further experiments comparing post-conditioning and post-extinction DH lesions would reveal exactly when this alternate structure is required.

• Experiment 1 demonstrates that fear renewal cannot be fully explained by the functioning of one structure or by a simple shift in context. Instead, these data suggest that renewal is comprised of two mechanisms: one that is DH-dependent and enables overall renewed responding, and another, which is DH-independent and enables initial responding. Whether or not this latter mechanism is even context sensitive - and uses contextual information in a manner similar to the DH - remains open to further investigation.

• Experiment 2 demonstrates that the mPFC is not required for fear renewal.

• However, Experiment 2 shows that the mPFC is required for decreasing initial fear responding in a "safe" (i.e. extinction) context. This finding fits with recent research suggesting that the mPFC (specifically the IL region) plays an important, inhibitory role in extinction (see Quirk & Mueller, 2008) • Animals with mPFC lesions also show enhanced fear to a novel context and reduced fear to a dangerous context compared to sham animals. This suggests that the mPFC may be involved in modulating responses when an animal has to discriminate between dangerous and safe environments. · Together, these experiments demonstrate that while both the DH and mPFC are important in processing contextual information, they perform unique

roles that depend in large part on the time course of a test session.

Support Contributed By: National Institute of Mental Health RO1 MH62122 This and all other Fanselow lab posters are available at http://fanselowlab.psych.ucla.edu/ Anagnostaras S.G. Maren S. Fanselow M.S. 1999. Temporally graded retrograde amnesia of contextual fear after hippocampal damage in rats; within-subjects

- examination. Journal Neuroscience, 19(3):1106-14. outon, M. E., 2004. Context and behavioral processes in extinction. Learning and
- Memory, 11, 485-494. Kim J. J., Fanselow M. S., 1992. Modality-specific retrograde amnesia of fear Science 256 675-677
- prcoran K. A., Maren S., 2001. Hippocampal inactivation disrupts contextual retrieval of fear memory after extinction. Journal Neuroscience 21, 1720-1726. prcoran K. A., Maren S., 2004. Factors regulating the effects of hippocampal inactivation
- on renewal of conditional fear after extinction. Learning and Memory, 11(5):598-603 nselow MS. 2000. Contextual fear, gestalt memories, and the hippocampus. Behav. Brain Research 110, 73-81.
- hardt RJ, Guarraci FA, Bouton ME., 2000. The effects of neurotoxic hippocampal lesions on two effects of context after fear extinction. Behavioral Neuroscience 114. 227-240
- , Maren S., 2005. Electrolytic lesions of the dorsal hippocampus disrupt renewal of conditional fear after extinction. Learning and Memory 12, 270–276.
 Quirk G.J., Mueller D., 2008. Neural mechanisms of extinction learning and retrieval.
- Neuropsychopharmacology 33(1): 56-72. Wiltgen B.J., Sanders M.J., Anagnostaras S.G., Sage J.R., Fanselow M.S., 2006. Context
- fear learning in the absence of the hippocampus. J Neuroscience, 17, 26(20):5484-91.